5

10

15

20

Request for Reconsideration Dated: September 12, 2006

Reply to Final Office Action Dated: July 12, 2006

## **LISTING OF CLAIMS**

The listing of claims provided below replaces all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

1. (Previously Presented) A method for creating a test summary report, comprising the operations of:

executing a computer software test application on a platform, the computer software test application having one or more test suites, each test suit including one or more test cases, each test case configured to test an aspect of the platform;

generating test results in an Extensible Markup Language (XML) enabled format independent of an XML format capability of the platform; and

using the XML enabled test results to create a test summary report,

wherein the XML enabled test results is capable of being rearranged, the rearranged XML enabled test results including test suite tags, each test suite tag encapsulating the test results corresponding to each test suite of the computer software test application.

- 2. (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the test results are generated utilizing a status utility having functions that generate XML code.
- 3. (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the test results are output to a test execution log file, the test execution log file including a log of the test execution.

Page 2

15

20

Request for Reconsideration Dated: September 12, 2006

Reply to Final Office Action Dated: July 12, 2006

4. (Original) A method as recited in claim 3, further including the operation of processing the test execution log file to generate a well-formed XML test reports file.

- 5. (Original) A method as recited in claim 4, wherein the well-formed XML test reports file is further valid with respect to a Test document type definition (DTD).
- 6. (Previously Presented) A method as recited in claim 4, wherein a content of the well-formed XML test reports file is reordered so as to create a logically arranged XML test reports file.
  - 7. (Original) A method as recited in claim 6, wherein the logically arranged XML test reports file includes test suite tags indicating test reports that belong to particular test suites.
    - 8. (Previously Presented) A method as recited in claim 6, wherein the test summary report is generated by converting the logically arranged XML test reports file into a Hypertext Markup Language (HTML) test summary report.
    - 9. (Original) A method as recited in claim 8, wherein the HTML test summary report provides a test summary of the test execution log file.

5

15

20

Request for Reconsideration Dated: September 12, 2006

Reply to Final Office Action Dated: July 12, 2006

10. (Original) A method as recited in claim 9, wherein the HTML test summary report includes links to failure description pages, wherein the failure description

pages provide a detailed description of a particular test failure.

11. (Previously Presented) An Extensible Markup Language (XML)

based report generator, comprising:

a parser that processes a test execution log file to generate a well-formed XML

test reports file, wherein the test execution log file includes XML statements generated

independent of an XML format capability of a platform being tested;

a logical parser operable to use the well-formed XML test reports file to reorder a

content of the well-formed XML test reports file to produce a logically arranged XML

test reports file, the logically arranged XML test reports file including test suite tags

encapsulating the test results corresponding to each test suite; and

an HTML converter parser that converts the logically arranged XML test reports

file into an HTML test summary file.

12. (Previously Presented) An XML based report generator as recited in

claim 11, wherein the well-formed XML test reports file is valid with respect to a Test

document type definition (DTD).

13. (Cancelled)

14. (Previously Presented) An XML based report generator as recited in

claim 11, wherein the HTML test summary report provides a test summary of the test

25 execution log file.

Request for Reconsideration Dated: September 12, 2006

Reply to Final Office Action Dated: July 12, 2006

15. (Previously Presented) An XML based report generator as recited in

claim 14, wherein the HTML test summary report includes links to failure description

pages, wherein the failure description pages provide a detailed description of a particular

test failure.

5

10

15

20

16. (Previously Presented) A method for creating a test summary report,

comprising the operations of:

executing a test application on a platform, wherein the test application is executed

using a status utility having functions that generate XML code, the test application having

one or more test suites, each test suite having one or more test cases, each test case

configured to test an aspect of the platform;

generating test results in an Extensible Markup Language (XML) enabled format

independent of an XML format capability of the platform using the status utility, wherein

the test results are output to a test execution log file;

using the test execution log file to generate a well-formed XML test reports file;

reordering a content of the well-formed XML test reports file to create a logically

arranged XML test reports file wherein test suite tags are generated for each test suite,

each test suite tag encapsulating the test results corresponding to each test suite; and

converting the logically arranged XML test reports file into an HTML test

summary report.

17. (Original) A method as recited in claim 16, wherein the well-formed

XML test reports file is further valid with respect to a Test document type definition

25 (DTD).

Page 5

5

10

15

20

Request for Reconsideration Dated: September 12, 2006

Reply to Final Office Action Dated: July 12, 2006

18. (Cancelled)

19. (Original) A method as recited in claim 16, wherein the HTML test

summary report provides a test summary of the test execution log file.

20. (Original) A method as recited in claim 19, wherein the HTML test

summary report includes links to failure description pages, wherein the failure description

pages provide a detailed description of a particular test failure.

21. (Previously Presented) A computer generated method of generating

and processing test results, comprising the operations of:

executing one or more tests from a plurality of test suites and generating test

execution log file wherein each test result includes data identifying the test to which the

test result relates the test suite to which the test belongs, each test suite of the plurality of

test suites having one or more test cases, each test case configured to test an aspect of the

platform;

processing the test execution log file to generate a well-formed XML based test

reports file independent of an XML format capability of a platform being tested, wherein

the test results in the test reports file are arrange as a plurality of independent test results,

each including a test ID and data identifying a test suite to which a test belongs;

processing the well-formed XML test reports file to logically arrange the well-

formed XML test reports file to create a logically arranged XML test reports file in which

tags are generated for each test suite the tags encapsulating the test results corresponding

25 to each test suite; and

Application No.: 10/038,338 Request for Reconsideration Dated: September 12, 2006 Reply to Final Office Action Dated: July 12, 2006

outputting the logically arranged well-formed XML test reports file.